

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference F03-06056591	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/012584	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 25 August 2004 (25.08.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 04 September 2003 (04.09.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant KONICA MINOLTA PHOTO IMAGING, INC.			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Date of issuance of this report 20 June 2006 (20.06.2006) Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Masashi Honda</p> Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10
---	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
F03-06056591

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/012584

International filing date (day/month/year)
25.08.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)
04.09.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant
KONICA MINOLTA PHOTO IMAGING, INC.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☒ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012584

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language

_____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐

a sequence listing

☐

table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐

in written format

☐

in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐

contained in the international application as filed.

☐

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012584

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos. 1-3

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-3

relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

Claims 1-3 are merely a disclosure of information, which is related to a scope that does not require International Primary Search.

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. _____ are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. _____ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. _____

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/012584

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	4-9	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	4-9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	4-9	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
<p>Document 1: JP 11-234607 A (Minolta Co., Ltd.) 27 August 1999, Par. Nos. 0003-0008, 0079 (Family: None)</p> <p>Document 2: JP 2001-57056 A (Ricoh Co., Ltd.) 27 February 2001, Par. Nos. 0002-0008 (Family: None)</p> <p>The inventions of claims 4-9 do not appear to involve an inventive step over document 1 and document 2 cited in the ISR.</p> <p>Par. No. 0079 of document 1 describes a technology, in accordance with which transfer of image data obtained by an image capture device, such as a digital camera, to a second recording medium (a magneto-optical disk, etc.) is accompanied by conducting processing on the image capture device side using the ID of the second recording medium serving as the destination of the transfer.</p> <p>In addition, Par. Nos. 0006-0008 of document 2 describe a technology, in accordance with which, during recording of data from a digital camera on a different recording medium, folder classification is carried out using classification categories designated by the user and attribute information such as information concerning the type of equipment used, etc., and data that share the same classification categories are stored in the corresponding folders.</p> <p>Because both the technology described in document 1 and the technology described in document 2 are intended for recording data from a digital camera on another recording medium, combining the two would be easy for a person skilled in the art.</p>			

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/012584

Box No. VIII **Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Because the disclosure provided within the meaning of PCT Article 5 with regard to the technical feature described by the language “specific information used for making an assessment as to whether or not to create image data management folders with names in accordance with a predetermined rule” in claims 1 and 7 consists only in a technology for making a determination as to whether or not an information recording medium is permitted for writing image data (specification, page 11, line 21 to next page, line 2, page 17, lines 15 to 19) with the help of “information used for making an assessment as to whether or not a recording medium is authorized for writing image data” (specification, page 8, line 11 to line 20), claims 1 and 7 lack support within the meaning of PCT Article 6.